ORIGINAL



32

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION EQUIVING SHOW 1 **COMMISSIONERS** 2 2006 NOV 27 P 4: 33 3 JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman AZ CORP COMMISSION WILLIAM A. MUNDELL DOCUMENT CONTROL MIKE GLEASON KRISTIN K. MAYES 5 **BARRY WONG** IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DOCKET NO. E-01345A-05-0816 ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF THE UTILITY PROPERTY OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON, TO APPROVE RATE SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP SUCH RETURN, AND TO AMEND DECISION NO. 67744. 11 IN THE MATTER OF THE INQUIRY INTO THE DOCKET NO. E-01345A-05-0826 12 FREQUENCY OF UNPLANNED OUTAGES **DURING 2005 AT PALO VERDE NUCLEAR** 13 GENERATING STATION, THE CAUSES OF THE OUTAGES, THE PROCUREMENT OF 14 REPLACEMENT POWER AND THE IMPACT OF THE OUTAGES ON ARIZONA PUBLIC 15 SERVICE COMPANY'S CUSTOMERS. IN THE MATTER OF THE AUDIT OF THE FUEL DOCKET NO. E-01345A-05-0827 AND PURCHASED POWER PRACTICES AND 17 COSTS OF THE ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY. 18 STAFF'S NOTICE OF FILING 19 Staff of the Arizona Corporation Commission hereby provides notice of filing the Summary 20 of the Testimony and Exhibits of James R. Dittmer, in the above-referenced matter. 21 22 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 27th day of November, 2006. 23 24 Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED 25 Christopher C. Kempley, Chief Counsel Janet Wagner, Senior Staff Counsel 26 NOV 27 2006 Charles Hains, Attorney Arizona Corporation Commission 27 DOCKETED BY 1200 West Washington Street NHL Phoenix, Arizona 85007 28

1 2	Original and 17 copies of the foregoing filed this 27 th day of November, 2006 with:	
	Docket Control	
3	Arizona Corporation Commission	
4	1200 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007	
5		
6	Copy of the foregoing mailed this	
	$\frac{27^{th}}{t}$ day of November, 2006 to:	
7		
0	Deborah R. Scott	Michael W. Patten
8	Kimberly A. Grouse	J. Matthew Derstine
9	SNELL & WILMER	Laura E. Sixkiller
	One Arizona Center	ROSHKA DEWULF & PATTEN, PLC
10	400 East Van Buren Street	One Arizona Center
11	Phoenix, AZ 85004-2202	400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800 Phoenix, AZ 85004
- 1	Thomas L. Mumaw	Filoemx, AZ 85004
12	Karilee S. Ramaley	Michael L. Kurtz
	PINNACLE WEST CAPITAL	BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY
13	CORPORATION	36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
14	Post Office Box 53999, MS 8695	Cincinnati, OH 45202
	Phoenix, AZ 85072-3999	
15		Scott S. Wakefield
16	C. Webb Crockett	RUCO
10	Patrick J. Black	1110 West Washington Street, Suite 220
17	FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.	Phoenix, AZ 85007
	3003 North Central Avenue, Suite 2600	
18	Phoenix, AZ 85012-2913	Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.
19	Michelle Livengood	Post Office Box 1448
1	UniSource Energy Services	Tubac, AZ 85646
20	One South Church Street, Suite 200	Bill Murphy
21	Tucson, AZ 85702	Murphy Consulting
21		5401 North 25 th Street
22	Donna M. Bronski	Phoenix, AZ 85016
	Deputy City Attorney	
23	City Attorney's Office	Andrew W. Bettwy
24	3939 North Drinkwater Boulevard	Karen S. Haller
-	Scottsdale, AZ 85251	Assistants General Cunsel
25		Legal Affairs Department
2	George Bien-Willner	5241 Spring Mountain Road
26	3641 North 39 th Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85014	Las Vegas, NV 89150
27	THOURS, AZ 00014	

1	Amanda Ormond	Dan Austin
	The Ormond Group LLC	Comverge, Inc.
2	Southwest Representative	6509 West Frye Road, Suite 4
3	Interwest Energy Alliance	Chandler, AZ 85226
ر	7650 South McClintock, Suite 103-282	
4	Tempe, AZ 85284	Timothy M. Hogan
		Arizona Center for Law in the Public Interest
5	Joseph Knauer, President	202 East McDowell Road, Suite 153
	Jewish Community of Sedona	Phoenix, AZ 85004
6	and the Verde Valley	
7	100 Meadowlark Drive	Jay I. Moyes
	Post Office Box 10242	Moyes Storey Ltd.
8	Sedona, AZ 86339-8242	1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 110
•		Phoenix, AZ 85004
9	David C. Kennedy, Esq.	
10	818 East Osborn Road, Suite 103	Kenneth R. Saline, P.E.
10	Phoenix, AZ 85014	K.R. Saline & Assoc., PLC
11		160 North Pasadena, Suite 101
	S. David Childers, Esq.	Mesa, AZ 85201
12	LOW & CHILDERS	
13	2999 North 44 th Street, Suite 250	Robert W. Geake
13	Phoenix, AZ 85018	Vice President and General Counsel
14		Arizona Water Company
	Tracy Spoon	Post Office Box 29006
15	Sun City Taxpayers Association	Phoenix, AZ 85038-9006
1.6	12630 North 103 rd Avenue, Suite 144	
16	Sun City, AZ 85351	Lieutenant Colonel Karen S. White
17		Chief, Air Force Utility Litigation Team
	Tammie Woody	AFLSA/JACL-ULT
18	10825 West Laurie Lane	139 Barnes Drive
10	Peoria, AZ 85345	Tyndall AFB, FL 32403
19		
20	Douglas V. Fant	Greg Patterson
	Law Offices of Douglas V. Fant	Arizona Competitive Power Alliance
21	3655 West Anthem Drive, Suite A-109	916 West Adams Street, Suite 3
00	Anthem, AZ 85086	Phoenix, AZ 85007
22	Walter W. Meek, President	Jim Nelson
23	Arizona Utility Investors Association	12621 North 17 th Place
. 23	2100 North Central Avenue, Suite 210	Phoenix, AZ 85022
24	Phoenix, AZ 85004	HICKINA, 1925 05022
ا د الله الله الله الله الله الله الله ا		Barbara Klemstine
25	Sein Seitz, President	Brian Brumfield
26	Arizona Solar Energy Industries Association	Arizona Public Service
20	3008 North Civic Center Plaza	Post Office Box 53999, MS 9708
27	Scottsdale, AZ 85251	Phoenix, AZ 85072-3999
28		
	1996年	

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	-
16	
17	
18	-
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1 2	Jon Poston AARP Electric Rate Project	
3	6733 East Dale Lane Cave Creek, AZ 85331	
4	Coralette Hannon AARP Government Relations & Advocacy 6705 Reedy Creek Road	
5		
6	Charlotte, NC 28215	
7		
8	monica a. marting	
9		
10		
1		
12		
13		
4		
5		
6		
8		
9		
20		
,1	[1] 등 경기 기계 (1) 전기 기계 (1) 기계 (1) 전기 [1] 등 기계 기계 (1)	
22		
2		

Testimony Summary for James Dittmer

Mr. Dittmer has filed direct and surrebuttal testimony on behalf of the ACC Utilities Division Staff addressing revenue, expense and rate base adjustments. The majority of Staff Adjustments sponsored by Mr. Dittmer have been accepted by APS. Some adjustments have been accepted by APS in concept, though the actual exact revenue requirement value of some adjustments are dependent other determinations – such as cost of capital – to be made by this Commission. Mr. Dittmer also sponsors the Joint Accounting Schedules that reflect the cumulative recommendations of all Staff witnesses and that includes a reconciliation of Staff's base rate recommendation relative to the Company's original base rate request.

Set forth below are the adjustments being sponsored by Mr. Dittmer that remain "at issue" with APS, as well as a very brief description of the rationale underlying each adjustment.

- Staff is recommending that bark beetle costs deferred *prior to* the date of this Commission's order wherein it authorized APS to defer bark beetle remediation costs be disallowed. Staff did not, and does not, believe the stipulation in the last case intended for APS to be able to defer and later recover costs incurred *prior to* the effective date of the authorizing order.
- Staff is recommending that newly claimed Investment Tax Credits, not restricted as to rate treatment by Internal Revenue Code "normalization" requirements, be used as a rate base offset. These funds are undeniably "cost free" to APS, and accordingly, should be reflected as a rate base offset.
- Staff continues to oppose APS' proposal to collect in rates over a five-year period the "unfunded" projected pension benefit obligation. APS' pension cost proposal has never before been approved by any regulatory body, will result in intergenerational inequity among ratepayers, will result in at least a temporary double recovery of such "unfunded" balance, will do nothing to eliminate current cash flow concerns, and will eventually exacerbate APS' cash flow problems during the ten year period in which the funds are to be returned to ratepayers.
- Staff opposes that portion of the Company's proforma adjustment for Sundance nonfuel operations and maintenance expense that is based upon projected overhaul costs that will not be incurred for many years in the future – and certainly beyond the period of time that rates being established in this proceeding can reasonably be expected to remain in effect.
- Staff opposes inclusion of stock based incentive compensation in cost of service development that is based entirely upon the Company's achievement of financial goals with absolutely no consideration of customer-oriented goals.

• As a matter of regulatory policy, Staff opposes recovery of all lobbying costs, and further, recommends that in the future APS be ordered to record all lobbying costs below the net operating income line – consistent with guidelines set forth within the Uniform System of Accounts.

In its rebuttal filing, APS effectively abandoned its request for rate relief based upon an adjusted historic test year cost of service, and instead, for the first time essentially based the totality of its request upon forecasted financial metrics for 2007 and 2008. More specifically, APS argues in rebuttal and rejoinder testimony that to the extent this Commission adopts any of Staff, RUCO or other intervenors' "revenue requirement" positions or adjustments to the historic test year cost of service, that it should concurrently authorize an exact offsetting "attrition" adjustment to bring the level of rate relief being granted back to the level that APS supports in rejoinder testimony. Mr. Dittmer opposes the Company's belated request for an attrition adjustment. If an attrition adjustment is to be authorized, it should only occur after a utility clearly sets forth such a request in direct testimony so that all parties can adequately analyze through the discovery phase of the proceeding and address in direct testimony as necessary. No party has adequately reviewed the Company's forecast. Accordingly, setting rates on the Company's forecast, after the discovery phase of the proceeding has largely been completed, would be unfair to ratepayers in this proceeding and would result in very bad precedent for all future Arizona utility rate proceedings.